Nomenclatural survey of the genus *Amaranthus* (Amaranthaceae s.s.).
8. About *Amaranthus polygonoides* s.l. and *A. anderssonii*, two related taxa described from the tropical regions of America with notes on their taxonomy

Estudio de nomenclatura del género *Amaranthus* (Amaranthaceae s.s.).
8. Sobre *Amaranthus polygonoides* s.l. y *A. anderssonii*, dos taxones relacionados descritos de las regiones tropicales de América con notas sobre su taxonomía.

Abstract:
**Background and Aims:** *Amaranthus* comprises 70-75 species of which about half are native to America. Some taxa are used as ornamentals, food or medicine and escape from cultivation, mainly causing economic impact to the agricultural systems. The genus is taxonomically critical due to its high phenotypic variability and hybridization that caused nomenclatural disorders. A note about *Amaranthus polygonoides* s.l. and *A. anderssonii*, whose nomenclature and taxonomy need to be still clarified, is presented.

**Methods:** This work is based on examination of herbarium specimens and analysis of literature. Taxonomically relevant characters were measured (length and width (the widest part of the blade) of the leaves, longest and shortest diameters of the seeds, ratio length/width of the leaf blades and dehiscence/ indehiscence of the fruits). The variability of the continuous characters was illustrated by box plots.

**Key results:** The names *Amaranthus polygonoides*, *A. anderssonii* s.s., *A. anderssonii* f. erectus, *A. taishanensis*, *Sarratia berlandieri*, and *Scleropus urceolatus* are discussed. A specimen at BM-SL, that served as the base for the lectotype of *A. polygonoides* (Sloane’s illustration), was indicated. Previous holotype indications for *Sarratia berlandieri* and *Scleropus urceolatus* are corrected as lectotypes. *Amaranthus taishanensis* is confirmed to be a synonym of *A. polygonoides* s.s. The morphological study of leaves and seeds, as well as data about the distribution and the available phylogenetic analyses, show that the taxa can be distinguished at infraspecific ranks. A new classification is proposed recognizing a single species (*A. polygonoides*) with two subspecies, subsp. *urceolatus* comb. nov. and subsp. *polygonoides*. The latter taxon includes var. *berlandieri* comb. nov. and var. *polygonoides*.

**Conclusions:** *Amaranthus polygonoides* s.l. and *A. anderssonii* are native to tropical regions of America, currently treated as separate taxa. The use of box plots, along with the available phylogenetic analyses, helped to clarify their taxonomy.

**Key words:** *Amaranthus berlandieri*, *A. taishanensis*, *A. urceolatus*, distribution, *Amaranthus* subg. *Albersia*, typification.

Resumen:
**Antecedentes y Objetivos:** *Amaranthus* comprende 70-75 especies, de las cuales cerca de la mitad son nativas de América. Algunas especies son usadas como ornamentales, alimento o medicina y escapan de cultivo causando daños económicos a los sistemas agrícolas. El género tiene interés crítico debido a su alta variabilidad fenotípica e hibridación, lo que ha generado desorden nomenclatural. Se presenta una nota sobre *Amaranthus polygonoides* y *A. anderssonii*, cuya nomenclatura y taxonomía todavía necesita ser clarificada.

**Métodos:** Este trabajo está basado en la revisión de especímenes de herbario y el análisis de la literatura. Se midieron caracteres taxonómicamente relevantes (longitud y ancho (parte más ancha de la lámina) de las hojas, relación largo/anoche de las hojas, tamaño de la hoja, diámetro más largo y más corto de las semillas y dehiscencia/indehiscencia de los frutos). La variabilidad de los caracteres continuos se ilustró con diagramas de caja.

**Resultado clave:** Los nombres *Amaranthus polygonoides*, *A. anderssonii* s.s., *A. anderssonii* f. erectus, *A. taishanensis*, *Sarratia berlandieri* y *Scleropus urceolatus* son discutidos. Se indicó un espécimen en BM-SL que sirvió de base para el lectotipo de *A. polygonoides* (Ilustración de Sloane). Reportes previos de holotipos para *Sarratia berlandieri* y *Scleropus urceolatus* son corregidos como lectotipos. *Amaranthus taishanensis* se confirma como un sinónimo de *A. polygonoides* subsp. *polygonoides*. El estudio morfológico de las hojas y semillas, así como datos sobre la distribución y los análisis filogenéticos disponibles, muestran que los taxa pueden ser distinguidos en rangos infraespecíficos. Se propone una clasificación nueva, reconociendo una sola especie, *A. polygonoides*, con dos subespecies, subsp. *urceolatus* comb. nov. y subsp. *polygonoides*. Este último taxón incluye var. *berlandieri* comb. nov. y var. *polygonoides*.

**Conclusiones:** *Amaranthus polygonoides* s.l. y *A. anderssonii* son nativos de las regiones tropicales de América y actualmente se consideran como taxones separados. El uso de diagramas de caja, junto con los análisis filogenéticos disponibles, ayudó a aclarar su taxonomía.
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Introduction

*Amaranthus* L. (Amaranthaceae s.s.) is a genus comprising 70-75 species with worldwide distribution. Approximately half of these species are native to America, whereas the remaining ones occurs in the other continents. Various taxa are used as ornamentals, food, and medicine. Some species can escape from cultivation, mainly causing economic impact to agricultural systems with reduction in productivity and crop quality (see e.g., Costea et al., 2001; Hernández-Ledesma et al., 2015; Iamonico, 2015a; Das, 2016).

*Amaranthus* is critical from the taxonomical point of view due to its high phenotypic variability and hybridization that caused nomenclatural disorders and name misapplications (see e.g., Mosyakin and Robertson, 1996; Costea et al., 2001; Iamonico, 2015a, b, 2016b, 2017, 2020; Iamonico and Galasso, 2018).

*Amaranthus polygonoides* L., and *A. anderssonii* J.T. Howell are two taxa described from the tropical regions of America (Galápagos archipelago, the Caribbean, Mexico, and Texas (central and southern USA)) (see e.g., Bayón, 2015), which belong to *Amaranthus* subgen. *Albersia* (Kunth) Gren. & Godr. *sensu* Mosyakin and Robertson (1996). According to phylogenetic analyses based on molecular studies (see e.g., Waselkov, 2013; Waselkov et al., 2018) and morphological data (see e.g., Bayón, 2015), these two species are highly variable and closely related. Some nomenclatural issues about these taxa, as well as taxonomic problems, need to be clarified and are dealt with in the present paper. In addition, the following names were considered and discussed: *Amaranthus taishanensis* F.Z. Li & C.K. Ni, *Sarratia berlandieri* Moq. (≡ *A. berlandieri* (Moq.) Ulîne & W.L. Bray), *Scleropus urceolatus* Ands. (≡ *A. anderssonii* J.T. Howell).

This work is part of the ongoing nomenclatural studies of all *Amaranthus* names, representing the eighth contribution (the first seven papers were on the Linnaean names (Iamonico, 2014a, b), the names linked to the Italian flora (Iamonico, 2016a), *Amaranthus gracilis* Desf. and related names (Iamonico, 2016b), Moquin-Tandon’s names published in Candolle’s *Prodromus* (Iamonico, 2016c), the names linked to the Australian flora (Iamonico and Palmer, 2020), and Willdenow’s names (Iamonico, 2020).

Material and Methods

This work is based on examination of specimens deposited at BM, CAS, E, FI, G, GH, IBSC, L, LG, LINN, MA, NAP, NAS, NY, P, PE, PAD, QCA, RO, and US (acronyms according to Thiers, 2020+), and analysis of relevant literature (protologues, taxonomic treatments, morphological, and molecular investigations).

The following characters, which are relevant for the studied taxa according to literature (mainly Henrickson, 1999) and personal experience, were measured on the examined specimens (see “Additional examined material”) using a millimeter ruler and an optical microscope (Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany): length and width of the leaves (the width was measured at the widest part of the blade), size of the seeds (both longest and shortest diameter), ratio length/width of the leaf blades, and fruit dehiscence/indehiscence. The variability of the continuous characters (leaves and seeds) was illustrated by box plots.

The articles cited through the text follow the Shenzen Code, hereafter abbreviated as ICN (Turland et al., 2018).

Results and Discussion

Nomenclature

*Amaranthus polygonoides* Linnaeus (1759: 27) validly published the name *Amaranthus polygonoides* through a short diagnosis (“Calycibus infundibiliformis obtusis singularis”) and the following citation: “Sloan. Jam. I. t. 92. f. 2”. This latter quotation refers to Sloane’s work (1707) about his Voyage to the Islands Madera, Barbados, Nieves, S. Christophers and Jamaica. Kellogg (1988: 160) indicated a specimen preserved at BM in Sloane’s collection (BM-SL no. 2-116) as the type of *A. polygonoides*. However, this specimen was never seen by Linnaeaus (see Jarvis, 2007: 157-159). The lectotype of the Linnaean name was correctly proposed by Henrickson (1999: 797) based on Sloane’s image “t. 92. f. 2”. Note that the BM specimen was the base for Sloane’s image, so it can be considered as a typotype (see Jarvis, 2007: 22-24) (the illustration is the mirror image of the specimen). The BM specimen is here indicated as the typotype for the first time.
**Amaranthus taishanensis**

Li and Ni (1981: 116) validly published *Amaranthus taishanensis* from Eastern China (Province of Shandong) on the basis of Li’s collections in the cities of Taian (*locus classicus*) and Jinan. The authors morphologically compared the new proposed species with *A. angustifolius* Lam. (currently accepted as *A. graecizans* L. s.s.; see Iamonico, 2015a) by the synflorescence structure, the number of the tepals in the pistillate flowers, the number of the stamens, and the length of the fruit. Twenty one years later, Li et al. (2002) clarified the identity of *A. taishanensis*, stating that this name is a heterotypic synonym of *A. polygonoides*. I examined an isotype of *A. taishanensis* at PE and can confirm this synonymy based on the current concept in *Amaranthus* (see e.g., Mosyakin and Robertson, 2003; Bayón, 2015; Iamonico, 2015a).

**Sarratia berlandieri sensu stricto**

The name *Sarratia berlandieri* was validly published by Moquin-Tandon (1849: 268-269) who provided a short diagnosis, a detailed description, and the provenance (“In Mexico inter S. Fernando ae Matamoros”); a specimen (“Berland. ! N. 2279”) was also cited.

Bayón (2015: 348) indicated the “holotipo” of this name, a specimen preserved at G (code 00236968), and four “isotipos” at G (barcodes G00236969, and G00236970), GH (barcode GH00036984), and NY (barcode NY991138). Note that Bayón (I.c.), as “no visto” (= not seen) for all these five specimens. Because Moquin-Tandon (1849: 268-269) did not cite any holotype, the term “holotipo” as reported by Bayón (I.c.) is an error to be corrected to lectotype according to the Art. 9.10 of the ICN, whereas isolecotypes must replace Bayón’s “isotipos” for the other cited four specimens. Note that only the G00236969, G00236970, and GH00036984 exsiccata bear original annotations with the date of collection (year “1830”), whereas NY991138 lacks this information. However, because J.-L. Berlandier (a Belgian explorer in North America and Mexico, and traveller of A. P. de Candolle) died in 1851 (just two years later than the publication of the Moquin-Tandon’s treatment of Amaranthaceae in Candolle’s Prodromus (year 1849)) (see Stafleu and Cowan 1976: 196), it is reasonable that the NY undated specimen was also collected before 1849 and seen by Moquin-Tandon (1849). Few months later than Bayón (I.c.), Iamonico (2016c: 109) again proposed to lectotypify the name *Sarratia berlandieri* on the specimen G00236970 (reported by Iamonico (I.c.) under the SIB identifier 189573/3 according to the G (CHG, 2020c)), also highlighting the occurrence of other three syntypes (G00236968, G00236969 (reported by Iamonico (I.c.) under the SIB identifiers 189573/1, 189573/2 according to the G online Herbarium database), and PH00022348). Although the lectotypification by Iamonico (I.c.) was formally correct, it was superseded by that proposed (and here corrected) by Bayón (I.c.) (see Art. 9.19 of ICN). Note that the PH specimen cited by Iamonico (I.c.), and not reported by Bayón (I.c.), was not formally designated as isolecotype; therefore, this designation is made here (see “Taxonomic Treatment”). In addition, I traced further two specimens at P (barcodes P00609930, and P00609931; images available at MNHN, 2019) originally numbered as “2279” (as reported in the protologue by Moquin-Tandon (1849: 268-269)) but collected in different years than 1830 (the date of syntypes), i.e. 1832 and 1846. As a consequence, these two P specimens cannot be considered for lectotypification purposes.

**Scleropus urceolatus** by Andersson and *Amaranthus anderssonii* s.l.

Howell (1933: 95) proposed *Amaranthus anderssonii* as nomen novum pro *Scleropus urceolatus* Ands. (year 1853) based on the previously and validly published *A. urceolatus* Benth. (year 1844). According to Art. 7.4 of the ICN, the type of *A. anderssonii* is that of *S. urceolatus*. Andersson (1853: 162-163) provided a diagnosis and a detailed description also indicating the provenance (“Hab. locis graminosis regionis inferioris insulae Indefatigable (Ipse”)”. Bayón (2015: 305) indicated the “holotipo” for this name, a specimen preserved at S (S5637), as “no visto” (= not seen). Because Andersson (1853: 162-163) did not cite any holotype, the term “holotipo”, as reported by Bayón (I.c.), is an error to be corrected to lectotype according to the Art. 9.10 of the ICN.

Howell (1933: 96) also validly described a new form of *Amaranthus anderssonii*, named f. *erectus*. The new taxon would be distinguished from the nominal one (f. *anderssonii*) by its habit (erect vs. spreading), length and
shape of the perianth (2-2.5 mm, “tubular” vs. about 2 mm, “strongly urceolate”). Bayón (2015: 305) indicated the “holotipo” of this name, a specimen preserved at CAS (barcode CAS203293), as “no visto” (= not seen). Because Howell (1933: 96) reported a specific exsiccatum by the indication of the collection number (9837), the herbarium specimen (CAS) with the barcode (203293) and no duplicates were traced in other herbaria, I agree with Bayón (I.c.) that CAS203293 is the holotype of the name *Amaranthus anderssonii* f. erectus (see also the considerations by McNeill (2014) about the holotype citations).

**Historical background**

The taxa here considered were discussed and differently treated through time by various authors.

Moquin-Tandon (1849: 268-270) treated the taxa *polygonoides* and *berlandieri* as part of two different genera, i.e. *Sarratia* Moq. (a new proposed genus in Candolle’s Prodromus, with the new species *S. berlandieri* Moq.) and *Amblogyna* Raf. (including the species *A. polygonoides* (L.) Raf.). On the basis of Moquin-Tandon’s treatment, these two genera would differ from each other by the number of the tepals in the pistillate flowers (5 (Moq.) and 3 (Amblogyna)), the number of the stamens (5 vs. 3), lobes of the tepals (unequal vs. equal), the number of the stigmas (3-4 vs. 2-3), and the fruit (indehiscent vs. dehiscent).

Uline and Bray (1894: 268-270) accepted *Amaranthus berlandieri* and *A. polygonoides* as separated species. They placed *A. berlandieri* in the group of monoecious species with 2-3 stamens and indehiscent fruits (together with *A. urceolatus* Benth.), whereas *A. polygonoides* was included in the group with dehiscent fruits (together with *A. fimбриatus* Benth., *A. pringlei* S.Watson, and *A. squarrulosum* (A. Gray) Uline & W.L. Bray). *Amaranthus anderssonii* was not mentioned by Uline and Bray (I.c.).

Thellung (1914: 350-354) recognized *Amaranthus polygonoides* and *A. berlandieri* as separated taxa, proposing the subspecies rank for the taxon *berlandieri* (a new proposed combination in the Synopsis der Mitteleuropäischen Flora). *Amaranthus anderssonii* was not mentioned.

Standley (1917: 102, 104-105), in his treatment of *Amaranthus* for the *North American Flora*, distinguished *Amaranthus polygonoides* from *A. berlandieri* by the fruit (dehiscent vs. indehiscent, respectively) and the number and shape of the leaves (“suborbicular to obovate to oval... not crowed” vs. “oblong-lanceolate... crowed at the end of the branches”, respectively). *Amaranthus anderssonii* was not mentioned.

Eliasson (1985: 416-418) observed that *Amaranthus anderssonii* is similar to *A. berlandieri* sharing both vegetative and sexual characters (stem pubescence, synflorescence structure, shape and structure of the tepals, number of stamens, seed ratio length/width, and ornamentation), but differ from each other by the habit and distribution. *Amaranthus polygonoides* was not mentioned by Eliasson (I.c.).

Henrickson (1999: 794-799) widely discussed the names *Amaranthus polygonoides* and *A. berlandieri*, observing that the dehiscence/indehiscence of the fruits is not a constant character and stating “Specimens attributable to *Amaranthus berlandieri* are mostly indehiscent... but a few specimens did not show a distinct encircling line below the rugate cap of the utricle. In the 76 collections of *A. polygonoides* with mature fruit examined, 36 were noted to be actually circumscissile... and 35... were clearly indehiscent”. Concerning the leaves, Henrickson (1999: 796-797) highlighted a partial overlapped range of the ratio length/width of the blades, but no geographic separation occurs between the two taxa. He, therefore, concluded that the “Recognition of two taxa... would be quite arbitrary”.

Bojian et al. (2003) just mentioned *Amaranthus polygonoides* in a note under *A. taishanensis*, which was considered different from the Caribbean taxon and it would be more related to *A. blitum* L. (“one of us (Clemants) notes that further study might ally *A. taishanensis* with *A. blitum*, from which it seems to differ only by having five sepals”).

Moyakin and Robertson (2003) reported *Amaranthus berlandieri* as synonym of *A. polygonoides*, following Henrickson (1999). However, they stated that “The subspecies rank may be more appropriate for *A. berlandieri*, as was suggested by Thellung (1914). The relationships between these taxa of the *A. polygonoides* aggregate require additional study”.

In my recent paper on the Moquin-Tandon’s name in *Amaranthus* (Iamonico, 2016c), I provisionally accepted the Henrickson’s (1999) scheme, but I stated that “further studies are necessary to be carried out”.
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*Iamonico: Nomenclature survey of Amaranthus 8. Amaranthus polygonoides s.l. and A. anderssonii*
Taxonomic remarks

Morphology
The results obtained in the present study confirm that dehiscence/indehiscence of the fruit cannot be considered as a constant character, as already highlighted by Henrickson (1999). On the contrary, the box plots show a separation of the studied taxa based on leaf blade and seed sizes.

Concerning the size of the leaf blades, a difference in the ratio length/width occurs among *Amaranthus anderssonii*, *A. berlandieri*, and *A. polygonoides*. The lower ratio characterizes *A. anderssonii* (range 1.25-1.67), followed by *A. polygonoides* which ratio value (1.30-2.60) partially overlaps with those of *A. berlandieri* (2.60-4.20) (Fig. 1). Between *A. polygonoides* and *A. berlandieri* there is also a difference in the shape of the leaves which is, respectively, ovate to obovate and lanceolate.

*Amaranthus anderssonii* displays smaller seeds, having the longest diameter as 0.69-0.83 mm long and the shortest diameter ranging from 0.50-0.63 mm (Fig. 2A-B). On the other hand, *A. berlandieri* and *A. polygonoides* are more similar to each other, because the 25th and 75th percentiles of the longest diameter of the seed slightly overlap between them (total ranges are 0.81-0.87 mm for *A. berlandieri* vs. 0.80-1.00 mm for *A. polygonoides*); the variability of the shortest seed diameter of *A. polygonoides* is almost completely included in that of *A. berlandieri* (vs. 0.63-0.71 mm for *A. berlandieri*, and 0.68-0.71 mm for *A. polygonoides*). Note, however, that *A. berlandieri* shows narrower seeds (Fig. 2B).

Phylogenetic analysis of molecular data
According to the recent molecular phylogenetic study by Waselkov et al. (2018), the only one among the published molecular papers on *Amaranthus* that includes *Amaranthus anderssonii* (*A. berlandieri* was considered as synonym of *A. polygonoides*), it was clearly shown that *A. polygonoides* (a Caribbean species) is the most closely related taxon to

---

**Figure 1:** Box plots for the ratio leaf length/leaf width. Medians (horizontal bar), 25th and 75th percentiles, and maximum and minimum (whiskers) of selected features are shown. **ande** = *Amaranthus anderssonii* J.T. Howell; **berl** = *A. berlandieri* (Moq.) Uline & W.L. Bray; **poly** = *A. polygonoides* L.

**Figure 2:** Box plots for the seeds: A. seed length (measurements in mm); B. seed width (measurements in mm). Medians (horizontal bar), 25th and 75th percentiles, and maximum and minimum (whiskers) of selected features are shown. **ande** = *Amaranthus anderssonii* J.T. Howell; **berl** = *A. berlandieri* (Moq.) Uline & W.L. Bray; **poly** = *A. polygonoides* L.
the endemic *A. anderssonii* (from Galapágos). In fact, these two species form a strongly supported clade (informally named “Anderssonii clade”; bootstrap values = 100 (nuclear markers), and 98 (chloroplast regions); see Waselkov et al., 2018: 446, Fig. 1A-B). Both *A. anderssonii* and *A. polygonoides* are part, in turn, of the unresolved “Galápagos clade” as informally named by Waselkov et al. (2018). The phylogenetic tree based on nuclear markers (Waselkov et al., 2018: 446, Fig. 1A) shows that the species related to the “Anderssonii clade” were *A. tamaulipensis* Henrickson (the earliest divergent lineage in the clade formed by *tamaulipensis+anderssonii+polygonoides*, with a bootstrap value = 95), and *A. crassipes* (three accessions forming a strongly supported clade (bootstrap values = 100)). On the other hand, the tree derived from the analysis of the chloroplasts (Waselkov et al., 2018: 446, Fig. 1B), places *A. tamaulipensis* as separated species, but related to both Anderssonii and crassipes clades.

The nuclear markers tree (Waselkov et al., 2018: 446, Fig. 1A) shows the “Anderssonii clade” + *A. tamaulipensis* and the *crassipes*-clade forming a moderately supported clade (bootstrap value = 88). The whole group (*tamaulipensis+anderssonii+polygonoides+crassipes*) is sister group to the large clade (bootstrap values = 84-100) informally named “ESA + South American” (ESA = Eurasian/South Africa/Australian; see Waselkov et al., 2018: 447, caption of Fig. 1). Note that the other taxa belonging to the “Galápagos Clade” sensu Waselkov et al. (2018: 446) form a well-supported clade that is not closely related to the *tamaulipensis+anderssonii+polygonoides+crassipes* group. The tree derived from the chloroplast regions shows the Anderssonii+crassipes+tamaulipensis clade with low support; however, relationships among major clades within *Amaranthus* are not resolved and/or have moderate to low support values. Simplified phylogenetic relationships within *Amaranthus* based on Waselkov et al. (2018) are shown in Fig. 3.

**Taxonomic conclusion**

The three taxa here studied are currently recognized as two separated species, *A. anderssonii* and *A. polygonoides* (see e.g., Bayón, 2015: 305, and 348, respectively). The taxon *berlandieri* is synonymized with the latter name, although various authors (e.g., Mosyakin and Robertson, 2003; Iamonico, 2016c) highlighted that additional studies are required to clarify the correct relationship between these taxa.

The results obtained in the present study showed that the taxa *anderssonii, berlandieri*, and *polygonoides* can be distinguished based on some morphological characters (i.e., leaf blade shape and length/width ratio, as well as seed size). The ranges of these characters just partially overlap among the taxa, especially between *berlandieri* and *polygonoides*. Molecular phylogenetic analyses by Waselkov et al. (2018) revealed a relationship between *A. anderssonii* and *A. polygonoides*. Moreover, there is a geographic separation: the taxon *anderssonii* is endemic to Galápagos archipelago, the taxon *polygonoides* occurs in the Caribbean and coastal areas of Texas, and the taxon *berlandieri* occurs in Mexico and inland areas of Texas.

Based on the current definition of infraspecific ranks, subspecies, varieties, and forms, those taxa can be treated as groups that are distinguishable based on morphology (despite having overlapping ranges), with a geographical, ecological and/or reproductive isolation (see e.g., Hardion et al., 2017). The group *anderssonii-berlandieri-polygonoides* represents a case that can be treated as a single species with different infraspecific taxa. Because there is high morphological similarity among them, and considering the adjacent distribution areas between *berlandieri* and *polygonoides* as compared to *anderssonii*, I here propose to treat the latter taxon at subspecies rank of *A. polygonoides* (the earliest published name at species level), whereas the taxon *berlandieri* will be recognized at variety level (new nomenclatural change, see below) of the subsp. *polygonoides* (automatically established according to Art. 26.3 of the ICN). Note that there is an earlier basionym for *A. anderssonii* (*Scleropus urceolatus*), so it must be used as the base of my proposed combination (see below).


≡ *Amaranthus anderssonii* J.T. Howell, Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., ser. 4 21: 95. 1933 (Fig. 4). TYPE: ECUADOR. Galápagos, Galapágos-öarna, Indefatigable (Isla Santa Cruz), X.1852,
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N. J. Andersson and J. Nils s.n. (S-R5637), lectotype designated by Bayón, 2015: 305 (as “holotipo”, here corrected according to the Art. 9.10; image of the lectotype available at JSTOR, 2019a).


Distribution: endemic from Galápagos archipelago (Islands Baltra, Española, Santa Cruz, and Santiago; Eliasson, 1985: 432; Bayón, 2015: 305). Not known elsewhere.

Amaranthus polygonoides L., Pl. Jamaic. Pug.: 27. 1759
subsp. polygonoides var. polygonoides

≡ Roemeria polygonoides (L.) Moench, Methodus (Moench): 341. 1794.
≡ Amblogyna polygonoides (L.) Raf., Fl. Tellur. 3: 42. 1837.
≡ Amblogyna polygonoides Danzell & A. Gibson., Bombay Fl.: 219. 1861, nom. illeg. (Art. 53.1 of ICN) (Fig. 5). TYPE: (icon) t. 92, f. 2 “Blitum polygonoides viride seu ex viridi et albo variegatum polyanthos” in Sloane (1707: 144) (lecto-

Figure 3: Phylogenetic relationships within Amaranthus L., based and simplified from Waselkov et al. (2018): A. nuclear markers (ITS, A36, G3PDH, and Waxy), B. chloroplast regions (trnL5'-trnL3' and matK/trnK). Triangle size is proportional to sample size. Legend: ••• = high support (bootstrap values: 100-95), •• = medium support (bootstrap values: 94-75), • = low support (bootstrap values: 7-50), -- = no bootstrap value provided by Waselkov et al. (2018).
Figure 4: Specimen of *Amaranthus polygonoides* subsp. *urceolatus* (Ands.) Iamonico (Galápagos, Island Española, J. T. Howell 8703, CAS368651).
type designated by Hendrickson (1999: 797); image of the lectotype available at BHL, 2020; typotype (here indicated) BM-SL no. 2: 166 (NHM, 2020).


= Amaranthus verticillatus Pav. in Moquin-Tandon (1849: 268, 270), nom. inval. pro syn. of Amblogina polygonoides (≡ Amaranthus polygonoides) (Art. 36.1b of ICN).

Distribution: native to the Caribbean and the coastal areas of Texas (Eliasson, 1985: 432). Out of the native range Amaranthus polygonoides s.l. was recorded in Europe as a casual alien species (Iamonico, 2011), in Africa as naturalized in Egypt (Iamonico, 2015b), and in Asia (China; Bojian et al., 2003 under

Amaranthus polygonoides L. subsp. polygonoides var. berlandieri (Moq.) Iamonico, comb. et stat. nov.


≡ Amaranthus polygonoides L. subsp. berlandieri (Moq.) Thell., Syn. Mitteleur. Fl. 5(1): 352. 1919 (Fig. 6). TYPE: MEXICO. Tamulipas, Ranchos del Mojete de Matamoros à San Fernando, X.1830, J.-L. Berlandier 2279 (G00236968!, lectotype designated by Bayón, 2015: 348 as “holotipo”, here corrected according to the Art. 9.10; image of the lectotype available at CHG, 2020a); isolecotypes at G00236969 (CHG, 2020b), G00236970 (CHG, 2020c), GH00036984 (CHG, 2020d), NY991138 (NYBG, 2020) (designated by Bayón, 2015: 348 as “isotipos”, here corrected according to the Art. 9.10), and PH00022348 (here designated; JSTOR, 2019b).

Distribution: native to Mexico and inland areas of Texas (Eliasson, 1985: 432).

A diagnostic key for the infraspecific taxa of Amaranthus polygonoides, as recognized in the present paper, is shown here. The distribution areas are also reported.

1a. Ratio length/width of the leaf blades 1.30-4.20; seeds with longest diameter 0.80-1.00 mm long, and shortest diameter 0.63-0.71 mm long ................................................................. subsp. polygonoides

1b. Ratio length/width of the leaf blades (1.25-)1.30-1.60(-1.67); seeds with longest diameter 0.69-0.83 mm long, and shortest diameter 0.50-0.63 mm long; Galápagos Islands ................................ subsp. urceolatus (Ands.) Iamonico

Additional examined material: Amaranthus polygonoides subsp. polygonoides var. berlandieri. MEXICO. De S. Fernando à Matamoros, 1832, J.-L. Berlandier 2279 (P00609930); 1846, J.-L. Berlandier 2279 (P00609931). UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. Texas, Travis, 20.V.1872, E. Hall 268, 270, nom. inval. pro syn. of Amaranthus polygonoides s.l. was recorded in Europe as a casual alien species (Iamonico, 2011), in Africa as naturalized in Egypt (Iamonico, 2015b), and in Asia (China; Bojian et al., 2003 under

Amaranthus polygonoides s.l. was recorded in Europe as a casual alien species (Iamonico, 2011), in Africa as naturalized in Egypt (Iamonico, 2015b), and in Asia (China; Bojian et al., 2003 under

Amaranthus polygonoides s.l. and A. anderssonii

Amaranthus polygonoides subsp. polygonoides var. polygonoides. ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA. Antigua, near St. John’s, I.1907, J. A. Shafer 35 (NY1373866); Antigua,
Figure 5: Specimen of Amaranthus polygonoides L. subsp. polygonoides var. polygonoides (Antigua, St. John’s, J. A. Shafer 35, NY1373866).
Figure 6: Specimen of *Amaranthus polygonoides* subsp. *polygonoides* var. *berlandieri* (Moq.) Iamonico (USA, Texas, Cameron, W. R. Carr 29880, NY3042458).
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